

Defining the Range of Alternatives for BDCP Draft EIR/EIS

June 15, 2010 –BECT Presentation

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

1

JUNE 15, 2010

Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
Advancing the Bay Delta Conservation Plan



**DHCCP
TEAM**

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00043487-00001

Overview of Presentation

- Identification of Lead Agencies of the EIR/EIS
- Identification of Initial Alternative Concepts
- Development and application of screening criteria
- Range of alternatives to be evaluated in detail in the BDCP Draft EIR/EIS

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

2

June 15, 2010

Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
Advancing the Bay Delta Conservation Plan



**DHCCP
TEAM**

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00043487-00002

EIR/EIS Lead Agencies

- CEQA Lead Agency
 - California Department of Water Resources
- NEPA Lead Agencies
 - Bureau of Reclamation
 - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
 - National Marine Fisheries Service

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

June 15, 2010



Identification of Initial Alternative Concepts

- Concepts in the Notice of Preparation and Notice of Intent
- Concepts identified during Scoping Process
 - Over 1,051 comments related to alternatives
 - Requests to include concepts described in 2007 and 2008 reports by Public Policy Institute of California
 - Request to include concepts presented to the Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force
- Concepts included in BDCP Steering Committee handouts over past four years

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

4

June 15, 2010

Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
Advancing the Bay Delta Conservation Plan



**DHCCP
TEAM**

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00043487-00004

All Initial Alternative Concepts include Three Components

- Restoration Components
- Measures to Reduce Other Stressors Components
- Conveyance Components
 - Isolated Conveyance
 - Dual Conveyance
 - Through Delta Conveyance

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

5

June 15, 2010

Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
Advancing the Bay Delta Conservation Plan



**DHCCP
TEAM**

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00043487-00005

Isolated Conveyance Concepts

- Central Delta Pipeline/Tunnel
- Eastern Unlined and Lined Canal
- Eastern Unlined Canal plus connection to San Joaquin River near Mossdale
- Eastern Unlined Canal plus connection to EBMUD and SFPUC
- Western Unlined and Lined Canal
- Western Unlined Canal plus use of Sacramento Deep Water Ship Channel
- Eastern Foothill Unlined Canal from Sacramento River near Verona

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

June 15, 2010



Dual Conveyance Concepts

- Central Delta Pipeline/Tunnel
- Eastern Unlined and Lined Canal
- Eastern Unlined Canal plus connection to San Joaquin River near Mossdale
- Western Unlined and Lined Canal
- Western Unlined Canal plus use of Sacramento Deep Water Ship Channel
- Eastern Foothill Unlined Canal from Sacramento River near Verona

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

7

June 15, 2010

Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
Advancing the Bay Delta Conservation Plan



**DHCCP
TEAM**

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00043487-00007

Through Delta Conveyance Concepts

- Continued use of existing water supply systems
 - Levee armoring and new setback levees along South Fork Mokelumne and Middle rivers and Victoria Canal
 - Manage Delta for habitat, not local or SWP/CVP water supplies
- Separate Corridors
 - Water supply corridor along Mokelumne and Middle rivers and fish movement corridor along Old River
- Use of existing water supply systems with Delta salt water barrier installed near Benicia Bridge

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

June 15, 2010



Multiple-Step Screening Criteria Process

- First and Second Screening Levels
 - Defining alternatives under CEQA and NEPA
- Third Screening Level
 - Defining “potentially feasible alternatives” under CEQA and “reasonable alternatives” under NEPA
- Consideration of Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Reform Act requirements
- Consideration of criteria identified by CEQA responsible agencies and NEPA cooperating agencies raised during scoping

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

9

June 15, 2010

Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
Advancing the Bay Delta Conservation Plan



**DHCCP
TEAM**

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00043487-00009

CEQA Responsible or Trustee Agencies

- California Department of Fish and Game
- California Department of Parks and Recreation
- California State Water Resources Control Board
- California Air Resources Control Board
- California Department of Boating and Waterways
- California Department of Transportation
- California State Lands Commission
- San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

10

June 15, 2010

Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
Advancing the Bay Delta Conservation Plan



**DHCCP
TEAM**

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00043487-00010

NEPA Cooperating Agencies

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- State and Federal Contractors Water Agency

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

11

June 15, 2010

Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
Advancing the Bay Delta Conservation Plan



**DHCCP
TEAM**

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00043487-00011

First and Second Screening Levels

- First Screening Level
 - Could the potential alternative concept meet the project's purpose/objectives in Notice of Preparation and Notice of Intent?
- Second Screening Level
 - Under CEQA, consider: Would the potential alternative avoid or substantially lessen any of the expected significant environmental effects of the proposed project?
 - Under NEPA, consider: Would the potential alternative address one or more significant issues related to the proposed action?

Third Screening Level

- Could the potential alternative concept be “feasible” under CEQA?
 - Capable of being accomplished in reasonable time period taking into account economic, legal, social, and technological factors?
- Could the potential alternative concept be “reasonable” under NEPA?
 - Practical or feasible from technical or economic standpoint?

CEQA and NEPA allows consideration of a reasonable balance of environmental, economic, social, and technical factors and legal feasibility under species protection and other laws

Consideration of Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Reform Act

- Do alternatives provide a reasonable range of:
 - Flow criteria? Diversion rates?
 - Other operational criteria to satisfy the criteria of approval as a Natural Community Conservation Plan?
 - Hydrologic conditions?
- Does the range of alternatives include a:
 - Through Delta Conveyance alternative?
 - Dual Conveyance alternative?
 - Isolated Conveyance alternative?
 - Dual or Isolated Conveyance – Lined Canal alternative?
 - Dual or Isolated Conveyance – Unlined Canal alternative?
 - Pipeline/Tunnel Conveyance alternative?

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

14

June 15, 2010

Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
Advancing the Bay Delta Conservation Plan



**DHCCP
TEAM**

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00043487-00014

Consideration of Responsible/Cooperating Agencies Scoping Comments

- Does range of alternatives include:
 - Broad range of water quality objectives and operational strategies?
 - Potential interim changes to SWRCB Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan?
 - Long-term changes to SWRCB Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan with and without new conveyance facilities?
 - Reduced diversions lower than diversions allowed in USFWS and NMFS biological opinions to assure continued existence of the species and some level of rehabilitation for estuary?
 - Delta outflows, and potentially inflows, that reflect a more natural hydrograph than current SWRCB Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan?

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

15

June 15, 2010

Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
Advancing the Bay Delta Conservation Plan



**DHCCP
TEAM**

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00043487-00015

Development of Range of Alternatives to be Evaluated in Detail in Draft EIR/EIS

- Applied the screening criteria to the range of alternative concepts to identify the alternatives for Draft EIR/EIS
- All alternatives in Draft EIR/EIS address conveyance, capacity, operations, restoration, and other stressors components

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

16

June 15, 2010

Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
Advancing the Bay Delta Conservation Plan



**DHCCP
TEAM**

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00043487-00016

Array of Alternatives to be Evaluated in Detail in Draft EIR/EIS as of June 15, 2010

ALTERNATIVE	CONVEYANCE ALIGNMENT	OPERATIONAL CRITERIA	NORTH DELTA DIVERSION CAPACITY (cfs)	RESTORATION AND OTHER STRESSORS CONCEPTS
Alternative 1 – Dual Conveyance	Pipeline/Tunnel	Per BDCP Proposed Project (2/11/10 BDCP Handout)	15,000	Per BDCP Proposed Project (3/25/10 BDCP Handout)
	East Unlined			
	East Lined			
	West Unlined			
	West Lined			
Alternative 2 – Dual Conveyance	Pipeline/Tunnel	Same as Alternative 1	6,000	Same as Alternative 1
Alternative 3 – Isolated Conveyance	Pipeline/Tunnel	Similar to Alternative 1 with Fall X2	15,000	Same as Alternative 1
	East Unlined			
	East Lined			
	West Unlined			
	West Lined			
Alternative 4 – Dual Conveyance: Enhanced Aquatic Conservation	Pipeline/Tunnel	Modified from Alternative 1	To be Determined	Similar to Alternative 1 with Increased Restoration
Alternative 5 – Through Delta Conveyance: Separate Corridors	Through Delta Channel Modifications	Modified from Alternative 1	15,000	Same as Alternative 1

BECT MEETING WORKING DRAFT
SUBJECT TO REVISION

June 15, 2010



Next Steps

- Impact assessments will begin to identify potential adverse impacts and related mitigation measures
- The array of alternatives is preliminary and subject to change based upon information developed/reviewed during impact assessment

